UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,706	04/18/2005	Christoph Batz-Sohn	268436US0X PCT	3736	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			GODENSCHWAGER, PETER F		
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/24/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/531,706	BATZ-SOHN ET	BATZ-SOHN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		PETER F. GODENSCHWA	GER 1796					
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM 7 - Extensions of time may be available under the properties of the	THE MAILING DA ovisions of 37 CFR 1.13 his communication. imum statutory period w for reply will, by statute, months after the mailing	TE OF THIS COMMUNIC 6(a). In no event, however, may a re ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on 18 Ar	vil 2005						
2a) This action is FINAL .	· ·	action is non-final.						
<i>,</i> —	<i>'</i> —		ero proposution as to th	o morito io				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
closed in accordance with the	practice under <i>E.</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 19-35</u> is/are	pending in the a	pplication.						
• • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected								
· · · · ·		on and/or election requiren	nont					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 19-35</u> are s	ubject to restriction	on and/or election requires	nent.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
The dail of declaration is obje	cied to by the Ex	animer. Note the attached	Office Action of form 1	10-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/8		Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application _·					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-11, 19, and 20 drawn to an aqueous dispersion and method of producing the aqueous dispersion.

Group II, claim(s) 21-23, drawn to a process of producing an aqueous dispersion.

Group III, claim(s) 24-33 drawn to a coating slip comprising the aqueous dispersion and a process of producing the coating slip.

Group IV, claim(s) 34 and 35, drawn to an absorptive medium comprising the coating slip and a process of producing the absorptive medium.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The technical feature linking Groups I-IV is an aqueous dispersion comprising particles of silicon dioxide comprising both aggregated primary particles and a second group of particles where the particles have the same surface charge sign. However, the technical feature of claim 1 does not constitute a special technical feature because there is not a contribution over the prior art. Darsillo et al. (US Pat. No. 6,284,819) teaches

Art Unit: 1796

particles of both aggregated primary particles and a second group of particles dispersed in an aqueous solution, where the particles may be silicon dioxide and may be both anionic or cationic (have the same charge sign (3:55-67, 4:59-5:1, 8:51-62, Example 1).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected

Application/Control Number: 10/531,706 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PETER F. GODENSCHWAGER whose telephone number is (571)270-3302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Eashoo can be reached on (571) 272-1197. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,706 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Eashoo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 15-Mar-08 PFG March 13, 2008